



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Handwritten signature/initials

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/923,727

08/06/2001

Randy Keith Lomnes

470039.401

1112

500

7590

05/26/2006

SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC

701 FIFTH AVE

SUITE 6300

SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092

EXAMINER

TSAL, SHENG JEN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2186

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/923,727

Applicant(s)

LOMNES, RANDY KEITH

Examiner

Sheng-Jen Tsai

Art Unit

2186

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 April 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-83 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10,12,55 and 82 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9,11,13-54,56-81 and 83 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is taken in response to Applicants' Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed on April 25, 2006 regarding application 09,923,727 filed on August 6, 2001.

2. Claims 10, 12, 55 and 82 have been cancelled.

Claims 1-3, 22-23, 28, 32, 45-46, 51, 54, 72 and 79 have been amended.

Claims 1-9, 11, 13-54, 56-81 and 83 are pending for consideration.

3. ***Response to Remarks and Amendments***

Applicants' remarks have been fully and carefully considered with Examiner's response set forth below.

Acknowledgement of the Correction

The Examiner acknowledges that Applicant correctly pointed out that the withdraw of claim rejections stated in the previous Office Action should refer to the prior art of Schneider et al (US 6,016,553) instead of Hansen et al.

As to claim rejections under 35 USC 112

Applicant amended claims 28 and 51 with new limitation of "... **altering the data stored in the associated locations in the protected space that would otherwise automatically remain unaltered**" in an effort to overcome rejections under 35 U.S.C 112, second paragraph. However, the inconsistency between claims 3 and 28 and the inconsistency between claims 32 and 51 persist, as explained below.

The last paragraph of claim 3 recites "so that data stored in the location in the protected space automatically remains unaltered when the computer system is restarted

Art Unit: 2186

from a power-down state.” Thus, according to this limitation, when the computer system is powered-down, and then starts again, the data of protected space is the same (i.e., unaltered) as when the computer system was powered up the very first time.

Claim 28 depends from claim 3 via claim 27. Note that claim 27 recites “upon shutting down the computer system, save the data stored in the redirected space” and claim 28 recites “altering the data stored in the associated locations in the protected space that would otherwise automatically remain unaltered.” Thus, according to these limitations, when the computer system is powered-down, and then starts again, the data of protected space is altered to become what has been stored in the redirected space.

It appears that Applicant's intension is to claim two different scenarios: one with unaltered data in the protected space when the computer system is power-cycled and the other with altered data copied from the redirected area in the protected space. If this observation is correct, it can be achieved by amending the claims in the following manner:

- Amending claim 3 by removing the text “so that data stored in the location in the protected space automatically remains unaltered when the computer system is restarted from a power-down state” from the present wording.
- Adding a dependent claim (for example, claim 84), which states “the method of claim 3, wherein data stored in the location in the protected space automatically remains unaltered when the computer system is restarted from a power-down state.”

This arrangement would avoid the inconsistency between claims 3 and 28 while allowing both scenarios to be presented in a cohesive way.

The same issue between claims 32 and 51 can be resolved similarly.

It should be understood that the amendments mentioned above is only a suggestion by the Examiner based on limited observation. Ultimately, it is the Applicant who is the best person to make the most accurate presentation of the invention.

As to Amendments and Remarks on claims 1-3, 32, 54, 72 and 79

Each of claims 1-3, 32, 54, 72 and 79 has been amended with the additional limitation of "... **access request that would otherwise modify a portion of data on the storage device, ...**"

Applicant contends that the inventions disclosed by the references (Hansen and Kobayashi) are both directed toward non-modifiable storage device, thus would not teach the limitation of "that would otherwise modify a portion of data on the storage device." The Examiner disagrees with this assessment for the following reasons.

First, Hansen et al. differentiate between storage devices that are "read-only store" and "non-modifiable" by the underlying cause of the limitation [The read-only limitation may be imposed to control access to the information or may be a function of the underlying storage media. For example, on a network running under the UNIX operating system, file and directory access privileges may be restricted to a designated user list to control access to the information. Such files or directories appear to be non-modifiable or read-only stores of information to those users or agents (such as other programs, daemons, systems, or the like) which do not possess the appropriate

privileges. Typically, however, the characteristics of the underlying physical storage media (which may be a magnetic disk, floptical, magnetic tape, or the like) permit modifications or writing of information and are not "non-modifiable" in the strict literal sense of that term. In contrast, Read-Only Memory (ROM) devices, such as CD-ROMs, hardware ROMs, and Write Once Read Many (WORM) devices, are indeed non-modifiable stores of information; this limitation being imposed by the physical characteristics of the storage media itself (column 1, lines 14-33)].

Second, Hansen et al. state that [As used herein, "non-modifiable store (NMS)" refers to any storage which does not allow information or data to be changed whether this limitation is imposed by a physical constraint of the storage media or artificially imposed as an access control method (column 3, lines 49-53)].

Thus, the invention of Hansen et al. is applicable to those storage devices where the characteristics of the underlying physical storage media permit modifications or writing of information and are not "non-modifiable" in the strict literal sense of that term, and the limitation on modification is artificially imposed as an access control method because access privileges may be restricted to a designated user list to control access to the information.

Third, since the limitation on modification is artificially imposed as an access control method because access privileges may be restricted to a designated user list to control access to the information, modification would still occur when privileged users write into the storage space, intentionally or inadvertently. Further, unauthorized users

may also alter the contents of the storage device through hacking. All these actions would modify a portion of data of the storage device.

Fourth, the redirection mechanism disclosed by Hansen et al. is equally applicable to cases where the designated protected areas are physically non-modifiable (such as ROM), to cases where the designated protected areas are restricted by assigned privilege, as well as to cases where the designated protected areas are modifiable without any restriction. Note that this is consistent with Applicant's assertion that "Although primarily discussed below with reference to disk storage and access, especially hard disks, one skilled in the art will recognize that the techniques of the present invention are also applicable to other types of persistent and semi-persistent storage devices, including such devices as: CDROMS, Flash Memory, floppy disks, and other types of removable media storage devices," which appears in paragraph 0036 of Applicant's US Patent Application Publication 2002/0023225.

Therefore, the Examiner's position regarding the status of these claims, and those claims depending from them, remains the same as stated in the previous Office Action.

As to Amendments and Remarks on claim 73

Upon closer examination of the invention by Hansen et al., it reveals that Hansen et al. indeed teach the limitation claimed in claim 73, that is, **"the redirection driver cannot be uninstalled by a user without special access privileges, thereby forcing the data to be securely maintained"** as shown below:

Art Unit: 2186

[It is often desirable to modify portions of information which may be recorded in a non-modifiable store (NMS) whether this limitation is due to the physical storage media or artificially imposed by the operating system or system administrator. Modification of information may include adding, changing, or deleting portions of the information from these stores (column 1, lines 34-38); For example, on a network running under the UNIX operating system, file and directory access privileges may be restricted to a designated user list to control access to the information (column 1, lines 17-20); note that uninstalling is accomplished by deleting].

Therefore, the Examiner's position regarding the status of claim 73 remains the same as stated in the previous Office Action.

As to Amendments and Remarks on claim 68

Upon further consideration, it appears that White et al. (US 6,092,161) alone is sufficient to serve as the reference for claim 68. Refer to the corresponding section of the claim analysis for details.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 28 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 28 depends from claim 27, which in turn depends from claim 3.

The last paragraph of claim 3 recites “so that data stored in the location in the protected space automatically remains unaltered when the computer system is restarted from a power-down state.” Thus, according to this limitation, when the computer system is powered-down, and then starts again, the data of protected space is the same (i.e., unaltered) as when the computer system was powered up the very first time.

Claim 28 depends from claim 3 via claim 27. Note that claim 27 recites “upon shutting down the computer system, save the data stored in the redirected space” and claim 28 recites “altering the data stored in the associated locations in the protected space that would otherwise automatically remain unaltered.” Thus, according to these limitations, when the computer system is powered-down, and then starts again, the data of protected space is altered to become what has been stored in the redirected space.

The same inconsistency also exists between claims 32 and 51.

6. Claim 69 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 69 depends from claim 67.

Claim 67 recites that “unprotected space designated on the storage device for allowing modifications to a portion of the storage device.” Thus, modification directed to unprotected data is written into the unprotected space directly.

Claim 69 recites that “access to the unprotected data are also redirected by the redirection driver.” Thus, modification directed to unprotected data is written into the redirected space instead of the unprotected space.

Further, the Specification section of Applicant's disclosure states that "In some embodiments, when a portion of storage is indicated as unprotected, it is written to directly by the appropriate storage driver. In other embodiments, the Redirection Driver redirects storage access requests to unprotected areas, and integrates them into the unprotected portion of storage upon computer system shut down, or upon reboot."

It appears that the written description intends the limitations recited in claims 67 and 69 to be separate embodiments. However, current presentation of claim 69 combines the two embodiments into one, hence lacking support from the written description.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 1-9, 11, 13-15, 30, 32-40, 50, 52-64, 66, 71-72, 74, 76-79, 81 and 83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hansen et al. (U.S. 5,832,263).

As to claim 1, Hansen et al. disclose **a method in a computer system for automatically protecting data stored on a storage device from alteration** [It is a further object of the present invention to provide a system and method for modifying information recorded in a non-modifiable store (figure 3, 44) by intercepting file

accesses and redirecting them based on whether originally stored information has been updated (column 2, lines 17-21); since the modifying information is always directed to another designated area (the tracking store, figure 3, 46), the data of the designated protected area (figure 3, 44) is automatically protected from alteration as modifications is applied to another designated area (the tracking store, figure 3, 46)], **the computer system having an operating system** [column 4, lines 43-51], **redirection driver code** [the corresponding redirection driver comprises the Information Retriever/Modifier (Agent) (figure 3, 48), the In-Place Modifier (figure 3, 42), the Non-Modifiable Store (figure 3, 44) and the Tracking Store (figure 3, 46); figures 1 and 2 show the flow diagrams of the redirection driver code; column 2, lines 58-65], **available storage and redirected storage** [the Non-Modifiable Store (figure 3, 44) and the Tracking Store (figure 3, 46); column 5, lines 30-50; column 5, lines 65-67; column 6, lines 1-30], **comprising:**

Starting the computer system from a first powered-down state, wherein the data stored in a plurality of original locations on the storage device is in an original state [the Non-Modifiable Store (figure 3, 44) contains read-only data that is never changed from its original state because it is read-only];

Loading the redirection driver code into a memory of the computer system [column 2, lines 58-65; column 5, lines 51-64];

receiving a request for write access that would otherwise modify [refer to "**As to Amendments and Remarks on claims 1-3, 32, 54, 72 and 79**" presented earlier in this Office Action] **a portion of data on the storage device, the request referring to**

one of the original locations on the storage device [abstract; column 2, lines 17-21; column 4, lines 27-42] ;

under control of the loaded redirection driver [the Information Retriever/Modifier (Agent) (figure 3, 48) and the In-Place Modifier (figure 3, 42); column 5, lines 51-67; column 6, lines 1-30],

intercepting the request for write access to the data [the method and system intercepts read and write requests targeted at the read-only storage (abstract; column 2, lines 17-22); figure 2];

determining whether the request refers to the one of the original locations [the Non-Modifiable Store (figure 3, 44)] **that has previously been redirected to redirected storage** [the Tracking Store (figure 3, 46)] **when the request refers to an original location that has previously been redirected to redirected storage, using a location in redirected storage as a current redirected location** [figure 1], **otherwise allocating available storage to a new location in redirected storage and using the new location as the current redirected location** [figure 2]; **and redirecting the access request to refer to the current redirected location, such that the request transparently accesses the current redirected location instead of the original location** [figures 1 and 2]; **and**

restarting the computer system from a second powered-down state, wherein the data stored in the plurality of original location on the storage device automatically remains unaltered from the original state, without any restorative copying of data to the plurality of original locations [since the modifying

information is always directed to another designated area (the tracking store, figure 3, 46), the data of the designated protected area (figure 3, 44) is automatically protected from alteration as modifications is applied to another designated area (the tracking store, figure 3, 46)].

Further, claims 32, 54, 55, 72, 79, and 83 are rejected due to the same reasoning as provided in "As to claim 1."

As to claim 2, Hansen et al. disclose **a computer system for automatically protecting data stored on a storage device from alteration, the data stored in a plurality of original locations on the storage device and in an original state when the computer system is started from a first powered-down state** [refer to "As to claim 1"], **comprising:**

data access request that would otherwise modify an original location on the storage device [refer to "As to claim 1," abstract; column 2, lines 17-22];

available storage [refer to "As to claim 1"]; **and**

redirection driver, installed in the computer system during power-up initialization [refer to "As to claim 1"], **that,**

automatically intercepts the data access request [refer to "As to claim 1"]; **and**

redirects the access request to access a redirected location in the available storage, such that a requested modification at the original location is not performed and is instead performed to the redirected location, and such that, when the computer system is restored from a second powered-down state, the data in the original location on the storage device automatically remains

unaltered from the original state without any restorative copying of data to the plurality of original locations [refer to "As to claim 1"].

As to claim 3, Hansen et al. disclose **a method in a computer system for using software loaded into memory during power-up initialization to automatically protecting data stored in a portion of a storage device [refer to "As to claim 1," figures 1 and 2; column 4, lines 43-51; column 5, lines 51-67; column 6, lines 1-30] having a designated protected space [the Non-Modifiable Store (figure 3, 44)], the computer system having a redirected space [the Tracking Store (figure 3, 46)], comprising:**

Under control of the loaded software [refer to "As to claim 1," figures 1 and 2; column 4, lines 43-51; column 5, lines 51-67; column 6, lines 1-30];
intercepting from requesting code a request that would otherwise modify a location in the protected space of the storage device [refer to "As to claim 1"]; and
determining a location in the redirected space that is associated with the location in the protected space [refer to "As to claim 1"]; and
redirecting the intercepted request to modify the determined location in the redirected space instead of the location in the protected space, in a manner that is transparent to the requesting code [the modifying information may appear to the user to ... (abstract)], so that the data stored in the location in the protected space automatically remains unaltered when the computer system is restarted from a powered-down state [refer to "As to claim 1"].

As to claim 4, refer to "As to claim 3" and "As to claim 1."

As to claim 5, Hansen et al. disclose that **the driver is inserted into a driver hierarchy that is controlled by an operating system of the computer system** [column 4, lines 43-51].

As to claim 6, Hansen et al. teach that **the designated protected space of the storage device comprises the entire storage device** [the Non-Modifiable Store (figure 3, 44) may comprises as a ROM or any other read-only device, and the entire device is read-only (abstract)].

As to claim 8, Hansen et al. teach that **the determined location in the redirected space resides in another storage device** [the Tracking Store (figure 3, 46) may be a RAM (column 1, lines 48-65) that is separated from the NMS; the NMS and TS both may be implemented with removable media (column 5, lines 30-50)].

Further, claims 33, 35, and 58 are rejected due to the same reasoning as provided in "As to claims 6 and 8."

As to claim 7, Hansen et al. teach that **the determined location in the redirected space resides in the storage device** [the NMS and TS both may be a permanent part of the storage system (column 5, lines 30-50)].

Further, claims 34 and 59 are rejected due to the same reasoning as provided in "As to claim 7."

As to claim 9, Hansen et al. teach **the method of claim 3. further comprising: intercepting from requesting code a request to read the location in the protected space of the storage device** [figures 1 and 2, abstract; column 2, lines 17-22];

determining the location in the redirected space that is associated with the location in the protected space [figures 1 and 2; column 3, lines 62-67; column 4, lines 1-15];
and
automatically redirecting the intercepted request to read from the determined location in the redirected space instead of from the location in the protected space in a manner that is transparent to the requesting code [figures 1 and 2; column 5, lines 51-67; column 6, lines 1-30].

Further, claims 36, 60, and 81 are rejected due to the same reasoning as provided in “As to claim 9.”

As to claim 11, Hansen et al. teach that **the request to access a location in the protected space is a request to write to the protected space** [the method and system intercepts read and write requests targeted at the read-only storage (abstract; column 2, lines 17-22); figure 2].

Further, claims 37, 61, and 82 are rejected due to the same reasoning as provided in “As to claim 11.”

As to claim 38, refer to “As to claim 1.”

As to claim 13, Hansen et al. teach **the redirecting the intercepted write request results in automatically allocating available space to use as new redirected space and writing data to a location in the new redirected space** [figure 2; column 5, lines 51-67; column 6, lines 1-30].

As to claims 14 and 39, Hansen et al. teach **the determining the location in the redirected space that is associated with the location in the protected space further comprises first allocating available space to be used as the redirected space** [figure 2; column 5, lines 51-67; column 6, lines 1-30].

As to claims 15, 40, and 62, Hansen et al. teach that **the storage device is one of a hard disk drive, a read/write CD ROM drive, a floppy disk drive, and a semi-persistent storage device** [column 3, lines 46-60; column 1, lines 40-65].

As to claim 30, Hansen et al. teach **using redirection tables to associate locations in the protected space to locations in the redirected space** [tables are used to map and track modified areas within MNS (column 4, lines 66-67; column 5, lines 1-11; column 5, lines 51-67; column 6, lines 1-30)].

As to claim 32, refer to "As to claim 1."

As to claim 50, refer to "As to claim 27."

As to claim 52, refer to "As to claim 29."

As to claim 53, refer to "As to claim 30."

As to claim 54, refer to "As to claim 1."

As to claim 56, refer to "As to claim 30."

As to claim 57, refer to "As to claim 27."

As to claim 58, refer to "As to claim 8."

As to claim 59, refer to "As to claim 7."

As to claim 60, refer to "As to claim 1."

As to claim 61, refer to "As to claim 1."

As to claim 62, refer to "As to claim 15."

As to claim 63, Hansen et al. teach that **the redirection driver refers to the redirected storage space in at least one of files, clusters, virtual clusters, and sectors of data** [for file based system, ... (column 6, lines 1-12; column 6, lines 31-33; column 6, lines 52-67; figures 4 and 5)].

As to claim 64, Hansen et al. teach that **the redirection driver refers to the redirected storage space using multiple data addressing abstractions** [column 6, lines 52-67; figures 4 and 5].

As to claim 66, refer to "As to claim 5."

As to claim 71, refer to "As to claim 27."

As to claim 72, refer to "As to claim 1." Further, it is inherent that a driver must be installed before it is invoked in a calling sequence.

As to claim 73, Hansen et al. teach that **the redirection driver cannot be uninstalled by a user without special access privileges, thereby forcing the data to be securely maintained** [It is often desirable to modify portions of information which may be recorded in a non-modifiable store (NMS) whether this limitation is due to the physical storage media or artificially imposed by the operating system or system administrator. Modification of information may include adding, changing, or deleting portions of the information from these stores (column 1, lines 34-38); For example, on a network running under the UNIX operating system, file and directory access privileges may be restricted to a designated user list to control access to the information (column 1, lines 17-20); note that uninstalling is accomplished by deleting].

As to claim 74, refer to "As to claim 5."

As to claim 75, refer to "As to claim 5."

As to claim 76, refer to "As to claim 63."

As to claim 77, refer to "As to claim 64."

As to claim 78, refer to "As to claim 30."

As to claim 79, refer to "As to claim 1."

As to claim 81, refer to "As to claim 1."

As to claim 83, refer to "As to claim 1," "As to claim 30," and "As to claim 13."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

10. Claims 1-4, 27-29, 32, 50-52, 54, 55, 67-68, 72, 79, and 83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by White et al. (U.S. 6,092,161).

As to claim 1, White et al. disclose **a method in a computer system for automatically protecting data stored on a storage device from alteration** [Method and Apparatus for Controlling Access to and Corruption of Information in a Computer (title)], **the computer system having an operating system** [the supervising means causing a reset to be required of the computer system should an attempt be made to

Art Unit: 2186

perform a prohibited read, write or format operation, said reset causing memory to be cleared and the operating system to be loaded (column 1, lines 36-39)], **redirection driver code** [figures 2-3 and 5 show the redirection program code], **available storage and redirected storage** [figure 1 shows various partitions of storage and redirected areas], **comprising:**

Starting the computer system from a first powered-down state, wherein the data stored in a plurality of original locations on the storage device is in an original state [initial connection, column 7, lines 56-67; column 8, lines 1-20];

Loading the redirection driver code into a memory of the computer system [the supervising means causing a reset to be required of the computer system should an attempt be made to perform a prohibited read, write or format operation, said reset causing memory to be cleared and the operating system to be loaded (column 1, lines 36-39)];

receiving a request for write access that would otherwise modify a portion of data on the storage device, the request referring to one of the original locations on the storage device [dividing information stored on the storage medium into a plurality of non-overlapping partitions including a boot partition and at least one general partition, characterized by: designating at least one of said partitions a Write Many Recoverable (WMR) partition wherein, in use, if a write command is issued to overwrite any resident information stored in a/the WMR partition by updating information is written on the storage medium in a location other than where the resident information is stored and a (virtual) pointer to the updated information is set up/kept so that the

updated information can be accessed, as required during a remainder of a session (abstract)] ;

under control of the loaded redirection driver [figures 2-3 and 5],

intercepting the request for write access to the data [dividing information stored on the storage medium into a plurality of non-overlapping partitions including a boot partition and at least one general partition, characterized by: designating at least one of said partitions a Write Many Recoverable (WMR) partition wherein, in use, if a write command is issued to overwrite any resident information stored in a/the WMR partition by updating information is written on the storage medium in a location other than where the resident information is stored and a (virtual) pointer to the updated information is set up/kept so that the updated information can be accessed, as required during a remainder of a session (abstract)];

determining whether the request refers to the one of the original locations

[dividing information stored on the storage medium into a plurality of non-overlapping partitions including a boot partition and at least one general partition, characterized by: designating at least one of said partitions a Write Many Recoverable (WMR) partition wherein, in use, if a write command is issued to overwrite any resident information stored in a/the WMR partition by updating information is written on the storage medium in a location other than where the resident information is stored and a (virtual) pointer to the updated information is set up/kept so that the updated information can be accessed, as required during a remainder of a session (abstract)] **that has previously been redirected to redirected storage** [dividing information stored on the storage

Art Unit: 2186

medium into a plurality of non-overlapping partitions including a boot partition and at least one general partition, characterized by: designating at least one of said partitions a Write Many Recoverable (WMR) partition wherein, in use, if a write command is issued to overwrite any resident information stored in a/the WMR partition by updating information is written on the storage medium in a location other than where the resident information is stored and a (virtual) pointer to the updated information is set up/kept so that the updated information can be accessed, as required during a remainder of a session (abstract)] **when the request refers to an original location that has previously been redirected to redirected storage, using a location in redirected storage as a current redirected location [figures 2-3 and 5], otherwise allocating available storage to a new location in redirected storage and using the new location as the current redirected location [figures 2-3 and 5]; and redirecting the access request to refer to the current redirected location, such that the request transparently accesses the current redirected location instead of the original location [figures 2-3 and 5;** designating at least one of said partitions a Write Many Recoverable (WMR) partition wherein, in use, if a write command is issued to overwrite any resident information stored in a/the WMR partition by updating information is written on the storage medium in a location other than where the resident information is stored and a (virtual) pointer to the updated information is set up/kept so that the updated information can be accessed, as required during a remainder of a session (abstract)]; and

restarting the computer system from a second powered-down state, wherein the data stored in the plurality of original location on the storage device automatically remains unaltered from the original state, without any restorative copying of data to the plurality of original locations [A system reset causes the updated information, together with the list of pointers to this information to be cleared. This returns the WMR partition to its original state as configured in Unsupervised Mode (column 2, lines 23-26)].

Further, claims 32, 54, 55, 72, 79, and 83 are rejected due to the same reasoning as provided in "As to claim 1."

As to claim 2, White et al. disclose **a computer system for automatically protecting data stored on a storage device from alteration, the data stored in a plurality of original locations on the storage device and in an original state when the computer system is started from a first powered-down state** [refer to "As to claim 1"], **comprising:**

data access request that would otherwise modify an original location on the storage device [designating at least one of said partitions a Write Many Recoverable (WMR) partition wherein, in use, if a write command is issued to overwrite any resident information stored in a/the WMR partition by updating information is written on the storage medium in a location other than where the resident information is stored and a (virtual) pointer to the updated information is set up/kept so that the updated information can be accessed, as required during a remainder of a session (abstract)];

available storage [refer to "As to claim 1"]; **and**

Art Unit: 2186

redirection driver, installed in the computer system during power-up initialization [figures 2-3 and 5 show the redirection driver; initial connection, column 7, lines 56-67; column 8, lines 1-20], **that,**

automatically intercepts the data access request; and redirects the access request to access a redirected location in the available storage, such that a requested modification at the original location is not performed and is instead performed to the redirected location [designating at least one of said partitions a Write Many Recoverable (WMR) partition wherein, in use, if a write command is issued to overwrite any resident information stored in a/the WMR partition by updating information is written on the storage medium in a location other than where the resident information is stored and a (virtual) pointer to the updated information is set up/kept so that the updated information can be accessed, as required during a remainder of a session (abstract), **and such that, when the computer system is restored from a second powered-down state, the data in the original location on the storage device automatically remains unaltered from the original state without any restorative copying of data to the plurality of original locations** [A system reset causes the updated information, together with the list of pointers to this information to be cleared. This returns the WMR partition to its original state as configured in Unsupervised Mode (column 2, lines 23-26)].

As to claim 3, Hansen et al. disclose **a method in a computer system for using software loaded into memory during power-up initialization to automatically protecting data stored in a portion of a storage device** [figures 2-3 and 5 show the

Art Unit: 2186

redirection driver; initial connection, column 7, lines 56-67; column 8, lines 1-20; the supervising means causing a reset to be required of the computer system should an attempt be made to perform a prohibited read, write or format operation, said reset causing memory to be cleared and the operating system to be loaded (column 1, lines 36-39)] **having a designated protected space** [designating at least one of said partitions a Write Many Recoverable (WMR) partition wherein, in use, if a write command is issued to overwrite any resident information stored in a/the WMR partition by updating information is written on the storage medium in a location other than where the resident information is stored and a (virtual) pointer to the updated information is set up/kept so that the updated information can be accessed, as required during a remainder of a session (abstract)], **the computer system having a redirected space** [figure 1], **comprising:**

Under control of the loaded software [figures 2-3 and 5]

intercepting from requesting code a request that would otherwise modify a location in the protected space of the storage device [designating at least one of said partitions a Write Many Recoverable (WMR) partition wherein, in use, if a write command is issued to overwrite any resident information stored in a/the WMR partition by updating information is written on the storage medium in a location other than where the resident information is stored and a (virtual) pointer to the updated information is set up/kept so that the updated information can be accessed, as required during a remainder of a session (abstract)]; **and**

determining a location in the redirected space that is associated with the location in the protected space; and redirecting the intercepted request to modify the determined location in the redirected space instead of the location in the protected space, in a manner that is transparent to the requesting code [designating at least one of said partitions a Write Many Recoverable (WMR) partition wherein, in use, if a write command is issued to overwrite any resident information stored in a/the WMR partition by updating information is written on the storage medium in a location other than where the resident information is stored and a (virtual) pointer to the updated information is set up/kept so that the updated information can be accessed, as required during a remainder of a session (abstract)], **so that the data stored in the location in the protected space automatically remains unaltered when the computer system is restarted from a powered-down state** [A system reset causes the updated information, together with the list of pointers to this information to be cleared. This returns the WMR partition to its original state as configured in Unsupervised Mode (column 2, lines 23-26)].

As to claim 4, refer to "As to claim 3" and "As to claim 1."

As to claims 27-28, White et al. teach **receiving a request to shutdown the computer system; and upon receiving the request to shutdown the computer system, saving the data stored in the redirected space; wherein saving the data stored in the redirected space comprises copying the data from the redirected space to associated locations in the protected space, thereby making permanent the data that was redirected to the redirected space** [designating at least one of

said partitions a Write Many Recoverable (WMR) partition wherein, in use, if a write command is issued to overwrite any information stored in a/the WMR partition prior to undertaking said write command said information is copied and stored elsewhere on the storage medium to be copied back to said WMR partition when required -- for example upon a system reset (column 3, lines 53-60)].

As to claim 29, White et al. teach **saving the data stored in the redirected space comprises saving the association between the protected space and the redirected space without copying the data from the redirected space** [the use of the WMR-SRT pointer serves this purpose (figure 1; column 2, lines 66-67; column 3, lines 1-4)].

As to claims 50-51, refer to "As to claims 27-28."

As to claims 52, refer to "As to claims 29."

As to claim 54, White et al. disclose **a computer system for protecting data stored in a portion of a storage device** [Method and Apparatus for Controlling Access to and Corruption of Information in a Computer (title)], **comprising:**
protected space designated on the storage device for storing the protected data [dividing information stored on the storage medium into a plurality of non-overlapping partitions including a boot partition and at least one general partition, characterized by: designating at least one of said partitions a Write Many Recoverable (WMR) partition wherein, in use, if a write command is issued to overwrite any resident information stored in a/the WMR partition by updating information is written on the storage medium in a location other than where the resident information is stored and a (virtual) pointer

Art Unit: 2186

to the updated information is set up/kept so that the updated information can be accessed, as required during a remainder of a session (abstract));

redirected storage space in the computer system designated for storing attempted modifications of the protected data [designating at least one of said partitions a Write Many Recoverable (WMR) partition wherein, in use, if a write command is issued to overwrite any resident information stored in a/the WMR partition by updated information the updated information is written on the storage medium in a location other than where the/any resident information is stored and a (virtual) pointer to the updated information is set up/kept so that the updated information can be accessed, as required during a remainder of a session (column 2, lines 13-22)];

redirection driver [said supervising means allowing/restricting/prohibiting read/write operations upon the storage medium depending upon whether information to be read from a sector or written to a sector is operating system information or user information, whether the sector is in the boot partition or in a general partition, and whether the partition is active or inactive (column 2, lines 47-53)], **installed in the computer system** [Preferably according to the method of the first aspect of the present invention there is also provided supervising means (a Supervisor) separate from a central processing unit (CPU) of the computer system and made inaccessible to the user (column 2, lines 42-46)], **that intercepts requests to access locations in the protected space; redirects intercepted requests so that the requests result in accessing locations in the redirected storage space instead of locations in the protected space** [designating at least one of said partitions a Write Many Recoverable

Art Unit: 2186

(WMR) partition wherein, in use, if a write command is issued to overwrite any resident information stored in a/the WMR partition by updated information the updated information is written on the storage medium in a location other than where the/any resident information is stored and a (virtual) pointer to the updated information is set up/kept so that the updated information can be accessed, as required during a remainder of a session (column 2, lines 13-22)], **thereby leaving the protected space unaltered** [A system reset causes the updated information, together with the list of pointers to this information to be cleared. This returns the WMR partition to its original state as configured in Unsupervised Mode (column 2, lines 23-26)].

As to claim 67, White et al. teach that **the computer system of claim 54, further comprising: unprotected space designated on the storage device for allowing modifications to a portion of the storage device** [the corresponding unprotected space is the general partition (figure 1, 4; column 3, lines 5-10); A general partition is simply a partition other than an RO or WMR partition and one which may be written to (column 6, lines 29-31)].

As to claim 68, White et al. teach that **the computer system of claim 67 wherein the redirection driver disregards access requests to the unprotected space** [the corresponding unprotected space is the general partition (figure 1, 4; column 3, lines 5-10); A general partition is simply a partition other than an RO or WMR partition and one which may be written to (column 6, lines 29-31)].

11. Related Prior Art of Record

The following list of prior art is considered to be pertinent to applicant's invention, but not relied upon for claim analysis conducted above.

- Harish et al., (US 5,940,850), "System and Method for Selectively Enabling Load-on-Write of Dynamic ROM data to RAM."
- Piazza, (U.S. 5,603,011), "Selective Shadowing and Paging in Computer Memory Systems."
- Wade et al., (U.S. 5,552,776), "Enhanced Security System for Computing Devices."
- Alexander et al., (U.S. 5,363,334), "Write Protection Security for Memory Device."
- Brant et al., (U.S. 5,848,435), "Address Protection Circuit and Method for Preventing Access to Unauthorized Address Ranges."
- Rose, (U.S. 5,144,660), "Securing a Computer against Undesired Write Operations to or Read Operations from a Mass Storage Device."
- Berglund et al., (U.S. 3,828,327), "Simplified Storage Protection and Address Translation under System Mode Control in a Data Processing System."
- Elliott et al., (U.S. 5,559,993), "Hardware Circuit for Securing a Computer against Undesired Write and/or Read operations."
- Schlotterer et al., (U.S. 3,827,029), "Memory and Program Protection System for a Digital Computer System."
- Belsan et al., (U.S. 5,193,184), "Deleted Data File Space Release System for a Dynamically Mapped Virtual Data Storage Subsystem."

Conclusion


12. Claims 1-9, 11, 13-54, 56-81 and 83 are rejected as explained above.
13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheng-Jen Tsai whose telephone number is 571-272-4244. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim can be reached on 571-272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sheng-Jen Tsai
Examiner
Art Unit 2186

May 5, 2006


PIERRE BATAILLE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
5/23/06